



**MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE  
RAMONA COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP**

A regular meeting of the Ramona Community Planning Group (RCPG) was held February 5, 2009, at 7 p.m., at the Ramona Community Center, 434 Aqua Lane, Ramona, California.

In Attendance:	Chad Anderson	Chris Anderson	Kathy S. Finley
	Dennis Grimes	Bob Hailey	Ed Hogervorst
	Kristi Mansolf	Jim Piva	Dennis Sprong
	Paul Stykel	Angus Tobiason	Richard Tomlinson

Excused Absence: Matt Deskovick, Katherine L. Finley

Resigned: Beverly Maes

Chris Anderson, Chair of the RCPG, acted as Chair of the meeting. Kristi Mansolf, Secretary of the RCPG, acted as Secretary of the meeting.

**ITEM 1: The Chair Called the Meeting to Order at 7:05 p.m.**

**ITEM 2: Pledge of Allegiance**

**ITEM 3: Oath of Office for Newly Elected Members**

The Chair administered the oath of office to RCPG returning member Angus Tobiason, and new members Bob Hailey, Eb Hogervorst, Jim Piva, Paul Stykel and Richard Tomlinson.

**ITEM 4: The Secretary Determined a Quorum was Present**

**ITEM 5: LIST OF ABSENTEES FOR THIS MEETING. Determination of Excused and Unexcused Absences by the RCPG – Secretary Will Read Record Separately from the Minutes – Matt Deskovick and Katherine L. Finley had excused absences.**

**ITEM 6: Approval of Order of the Agenda (Action)**

**MOTION: TO APPROVE THE ORDER OF THE AGENDA.**

Upon motion made by Bob Hailey and seconded by Dennis Sprong, the order of the agenda was **approved 12-0-0-3**, with no objections, with Matt Deskovick and Katherine L. Finley absent and Beverly Maes resigned.

**ITEM 7: Roberts Rules of Order – Rules of Parliamentary Procedure to be Followed during Meeting. The Brown Act – General Information on What it is and How it Applies to the RCPG (Chair)**

The Chair said Roberts Rules of Order govern how the RCPG meetings are conducted. The Brown Act defines how the public participates in the meeting.

**ITEM 8: ANNOUNCEMENTS & Correspondence Received (Chair)**  
**a. Ramona Street Extension Project to be on March 5, 2009, Agenda**

The Chair said that she discussed a timeline with the County representative for this project. As subcommittee chairs would not be seated until tonight, this project would come back to the Transportation/Trails Subcommittee before the March RCPG meeting and would be on the next agenda.

**ITEM 9: APPROVAL OF MINUTES 1-8-09, 1-26-09 (Action)**

**MOTION: TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF 1-8-09 AND 1-26-09.**

Upon motion made by Kathy S. Finley and seconded by Bob Hailey, the Motion **passed 12-0-0-0-3**, with Matt Deskovick and Katherine L. Finley absent and Beverly Maes resigned.

**ITEM 10. NON-AGENDA ITEMS Presentations on Land Issues not on Current Agenda (No Presentations on Ongoing Projects – These Must be Agendized)**

Speaker: George Boggs, Ramona Resident

Mr. Boggs said that there is an issue pending regarding a 3-time sex offender being placed in Ramona. He feels this is a community issue and should be addressed by the RCPG. The owner of where the person is to be placed pulled a permit to remodel his house to include 8 people. Only 6 is usually allowed for a group home. The property owner was told the room would be for a mental health case. The house is walking distance to 3 schools. The person is going to be released from Coalinga.

The Chair said the RCPG can hold a special meeting to address this issue. Comments are due February 27.

Speaker: Bernie Thompson, Ramona Resident

Mr. Thompson has a project application -- a variance -- that was approved by the RCPG a couple of years ago. DPLU would not approve the project. He has since changed the location of the property line and would like the RCPG to review the project with the changes so it can start moving forward again.

The Chair asked Mr. Thompson to provide his contact information to the Secretary so we can check on this item.

**ITEM 11. OLD BUSINESS – (Discussion and Possible Action)**

- a.. **Reconsideration of Previous Action taken 1-8-09 to Approve Project with Curb, Gutter and Sidewalk. S03-079-01, Olive Street Self Storage, Addition of 2<sup>nd</sup> Story (988 sq. ft.) to Previously Approved Building “A” – to be a Manager’s Unit. Modification to Existing Approved Site Plan. Addition of a Trail. 1031 Olive St. Owner: Auerbach Family Trust**

The Chair said that there is new information from the Parks Department on this item. A majority vote is required to reconsider a previous action taken according to Policy I-1.

Ms. Mansolf said that at the meeting January 8, 2009, the RCPG was aware the Parks Department wanted a pathway, but we reviewed it and were not aware of potential connectivity. After we approved it, the Parks Department said that there are large residential lots to the east of the project,

and Olive St. is a major element to provide connectivity to the Santa Maria Greenway. The project planner sent DPW considerations for putting a sidewalk there – the project is in the industrial area and there will be an increase in traffic in this area in the future. A sidewalk will make the area safer for pedestrians. The Parks Department will be satisfied with curb, gutter and DG. The curb will help to contain the DG and provide separation for pedestrians and equestrians from traffic.

**MOTION: TO RECONSIDER THE PREVIOUS ACTION TAKEN JANUARY 8, 2009, "TO ACCEPT THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED WITH THE CURB, GUTTER, SIDEWALK, AND BIKE LANE ON THE NORTH SIDE OF OLIVE ST., AS SHOWN ON THE MAP." DUE TO NEW INFORMATION.**

Upon motion made by Kristi Mansolf and seconded by Bob Hailey, the Motion **passed 10-1-1-0-3**, with Chad Anderson voting no, Angus Tobiason stepping down, Matt Deskovick and Katherine L. Finley absent and Beverly Maes resigned.

Speaker: Jeff Gan, Ramona Resident

Mr. Gan represents the project applicant. The project was approved in 2005. The pathway will be in the right of way and will be maintained by the County. As long as everything can be worked out with DPW, the applicant is okay with the change.

Speaker: Cheryl Wegner, Ramona Resident

Ms. Wegner says a pathway is conducive to the area and will provide connectivity to reach the Santa Maria Greenway. It is not unusual to have both a sidewalk and a pathway. It should be a non-motorized element. This is a pathway, within the road easement. If it were concrete, it would also be a pathway.

Mr. Anderson asked who will maintain the DG pathway in the right of way?

The Chair said it will be like the DG pathway along Archie Moore Rd., which is maintained by the County.

Ms. Mansolf said there is not enough room for both a DG pathway and a concrete sidewalk, so there will only be the pathway.

**MOTION: TO RESCIND THE PREVIOUS MOTION FROM JANUARY 8, 2009 ON THE PROJECT. TO SUBSTITUTE A COMPACTED DG PATHWAY FOR CONCRETE IF THIS REQUIREMENT DOESN'T AFFECT THE PROJECT TIMELINE AND COST (CURB, GUTTER AND DG).**

Upon motion made by Kathy S. Finley and seconded by Bob Hailey, the Motion **passed 11-0-0-1-3**, with Angus Tobiason stepping down, Matt Deskovick and Katherine L. Finley absent and Beverly Maes resigned.

**b. TPM 20962, Neumann Project, Review of Trail along Western Boundary. Trail Was Requested by RCPG**

Don Ayles of ERB Consulting was in attendance. Mr. Ayles said that the project is a 4-lot split that was approved by the RCPG. It is ready to go out for public review. The RCPG had asked for a trail when the project was approved. Trails were not shown on the map previously. He has met

with the County Trails Coordinator who specified details for the trail. The trail is on the Ramona Trails Master Plan. Mr. Ayles provided maps and the note from the County Trails Coordinator showing the trail and pertinent information.

**MOTION: TO APPROVE THE TRAIL ALIGNMENT AS SUBMITTED.**

Upon motion made by Kristi Mansolf and seconded by Bob Hailey, the Motion **passed 12-0-0-0-3**, with Matt Deskovick and Katherine L. Finley absent and Beverly Maes resigned.

- c. TM 5537 "F" Street Major Subdivision (10 Lots). Notice of Intent to Adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration. 2.06 Acre Lot to be Subdivided into 10 Lots. Intersection of Third and "F" Streets. Comments due 2-27-09 at 4 p.m. Available online at [http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/dplu/ceqa\\_Public.html](http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/dplu/ceqa_Public.html)**

Ms. Mansolf said the environmental document is out for the project and was received too late for subcommittee review. The RCPG had approved this project.

Speaker: Cheryl Wegner, Ramona Resident

Ms. Wegner said she used to live in this area and one side of the lot is often under water in the wet water. She has a hard time envisioning 10 homes on the parcel.

Ms. Mansolf said they are putting in a low retaining wall on one side of the property to help address drainage.

The Chair said anyone wishing to make individual comments on the project could do so.

No action was taken.

- d. L-14522, Spitsbergen "L" Grading Plan for 2857 Southern Oak Road. Notice of Intent to Adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration. Comments due 2-27-09 at 4 p.m. Available online at [http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/dplu/ceqa\\_Public.html](http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/dplu/ceqa_Public.html)**

Ms. Mansolf said that the project was received too late for subcommittee review. Previously there was a development project associated with the road that the RCPG reviewed. It was never approved. This project only involves the improvements to Mr. Spitsbergen's access road.

No action was taken.

**ITEM 12: SUBCOMMITTEE REPORTS**

**12-A: WEST/CUDA (Mansolf) (Action Items)**

**12-A-1: AD 06-060RPL, Westphal Oversized Structure (Covered Arena) on Rangeland Rd., Westphal, Owner**

Ms. Mansolf gave the West Subcommittee report. Ms. Westphal and the contractor for her project, Mr. Thissen, were present at the meeting. Ms. Mansolf reported the County sent her the final map for the project, which was the last map the RCPG saw. The County has asked that the plans address the original comments of the RCPG to include lighting (only security lighting), color, etc. The landscaping plan is not required at this time, but will be included as a Condition of Approval.

(submitted and approved per County requirements prior to obtaining a building permit). The County is not requesting additional information from the applicant two years into the process. The map sent is the map the County will consider for approval. If the planning group does not have a decisive approval or denial at the next meeting, the planner will proceed to a decision due to CEQA processing requirements. The planner asked that the RCPG make a final vote on the project.

The buildings will be fabricated. The arena will be 26 feet 6 inches at the peak and 20 feet at the eaves. The applicant wants to put in security lighting on the house side of the barn. Lighting was not shown on the plans the applicant brought, but was to be included for the Thursday, RCPG meeting. The security lighting can be overridden. The building will be earthtones or hunter green. There will be a 10 foot breezeway between the barn and the arena. There will be lights in the stalls of the barn, but the stalls will be completely enclosed, so the light will not affect anyone.

The applicant said the County had not sent a plant list yet, but when received, decisions will be made on types of plants. The Subcommittee suggested the location of shrubs and trees on the plans be called out before the RCPG meeting.

Size had been the issue at the RCPG meeting. The size of the arena hasn't changed. The motion at the West Subcommittee was "to approve the project as presented with outdoor security lighting and earthtone colors," and it passed.

Mr. Thissen and Ms. Westphal were present at the RCPG meeting. Mr. Thissen passed out the new plans to the RCPG members, which included a hedgerow along the fence line on Rangeland Rd. and the driveway. The project site is 10 acres. The covered arena will be used for dressage jumping. Ms. Westphal will have 10 or 15 horses at the site.

There were questions on lighting. Mr. Thissen said there will be security lighting for the arena that can be overridden. The security lights will be on the house side of the arena.

Ms. Westphal said she hopes her neighbors will come to her first with any concerns they may have.

Mr. Tobiason asked about Low Impact Development for the site.

Mr. Thissen said a retention pond will have to be built.

Mr. Anderson asked what gives us the right to tell the applicant when she can ride?

The Chair said the project is an oversized structure. The application has come to us for permission to build the structure. A Major Use Permit was considered 2 years ago. With a Major Use Permit, there can be conditions that go along with the building of the project.

Mr. Anderson said there are one-half dozen buildings down the street from the proposed project that are ag buildings.

The Chair said that the owner down the street has the "O" animal designator on the site. This site has the "L" animal designator. This allows for the owner to have animals but not the ability to board or breed animals on the site.

Mr. Piva asked about the color of the buildings?

Mr. Thissen said that tan (earthtones) are shown on the plans. He prefers a hunter green roof.

Mr. Thissen prepared the plans for the RCPG meeting, even though the County did not require it. He got the message that the map needed to be cleaned up.

Ms. Westphal said that for the landscaping, the hedgerow will be 4 to 5 feet tall. They will chose something the County recommends that will be drought resistant. She is waiting for the list for hedgerow selection.

The Chair announced that there were 7 speakers on the project. Three people are giving up their time to speak to Jeff Gan.

Speaker: Jeff Gan, Ramona Resident

Mr. Gan lives past the property. He feels the project is a good looking project, but it is on the wrong lot.

Per 7358 of the Zoning Ordinance, he feels they are unable to make the right findings for the project, which include harmony in scale, bulk, coverage and density; the harmful effect upon desirable neighborhood character; the suitability of the site for the type and intensity of use or development which is proposed; and to any other relevant impact of the proposed use. What the owner wants to do would require different zoning or approval of a Major Use Permit. Access is by private road easements. Personal use can include many personal friends with dozens of trucks, fifth wheel horse trailers, and possibly semi trailers delivering cattle for such events as roping. This is the first parcel behind the gate on Rangeland Rd. The building proposed is 10 times the size of what is allowed by right. The project will set a precedent for this area for oversized personal structures. The County planner cited the Dowle property to Mr. Gan as a similar use in the area. That property was formerly a chicken ranch. Now the owner has cattle. The Dowle property has the "O" animal designator and is larger (66 acres). The proposed project should be compatible with the existing buildings in the area. The neighbors will have to police the people and the animals should the project be built. The perimeter and height of the entire structure needs to be story poled as required in other communities, reflecting what neighbors will see from adjacent properties. The Lakeside Rodeo grounds facility is not as large in exterior as what is being proposed here. This is just the wrong property for the project.

Speaker: Deborah Kremer, Ramona Resident

Ms. Kremer has reviewed the files at the County. The scope and size of the project have not changed. Ms. Kremer still has concerns about the size of the project and the potential for commercial use. Commercial equestrian facilities are the same size as what is being proposed. Barrel racing and other events can be held at the site. This would not be a "personal use." The neighbors will have to police the site. She feels the RCPG would create this problem if they approve the project. This site, used commercially with horse trailers using Rangeland Road, would add traffic on a 2 lane road with dirt shoulders. Some intersections in Ramona are at LOS F. The project is 3 stories tall. The project should be a Major Use Permit.

Speaker: Pat Dailey, Ramona Resident

Mr. Dailey said the project is proposed within the gate of a private residential community. The proposed project will be 24,000 sq ft – the suggested size of a commercial covered arena. To compare scale, his barn is 2,016 sq ft. The project is out of harmony with the area – it will look like an industrial building. At Del Mar, they have 1,600 horses and their arena is twice as big. The project is too big for the area. He supports the barn but not the covered arena.

Speaker: Dick Murphy, Ramona Resident

Mr. Murphy said Highland Hills Estates is a bedroom community with mostly single family homes. The project will be at the entrance to this community. The applicant could build for private use and her friends could come and have competitions there. The use could grow.

Speaker: Allison Farrin, Ramona Resident

Ms. Farrin lives a mile up the street. She is in favor of the project. She moved to the area for the agricultural zoning. There is a chicken ranch with cows around the corner. The project is a barn and a full size arena. Back east it rains. If someone is serious about their horses and wants to keep them busy, they would need a covered arena. Ms. Farrin doesn't think most people will notice the project once it is built. She thinks it is appropriate and necessary for a horsey community, or else we won't have a horsey community.

Speaker: Roger Heath, Ramona Resident

Mr. Heath said the oversized structure is not indicative of the area. He doesn't want it there.

Speaker: Carol Angus, Ramona Resident

Ms. Angus drives past the project site. She thinks the bulk and scale of the project do not fit into the area. It won't bother her at night due to the location of her property. The building will be high and the lights will be raised up. It will bother the neighbors. We want horses and ag. The gate is hard to maneuver with a horse trailer. It doesn't sound like the plans for the project are definite. The RCPG should ask for more plans and more information. Ms. Angus feels the project needs a Major Use Permit. She asked that the RCPG doesn't start a Hatfield/McCoy situation.

Speaker: Mark Johnson, Ramona Resident

Mr. Johnson feels the structure will be inappropriate for the area. It won't look good and will be out of proportion with the surrounding area. The project is close to the Ramona Grasslands. There are thousands of geese in the area. The project will add lighting and increase traffic and noise. The roof will be one-half acre. How will all the water be collected? If it will go into a pond, the pond will smell. What will the next residents do with the site? This project is more industrial in an area that is currently open space with ag and cattle.

Speaker: Ken Brock, Ramona Resident

Mr. Brock lives next to the property. A feasibility study should be done first before considering building such a structure. Mr. Brock said that all who evacuated in 2007 knew the evacuation was a nightmare. Getting horses out of there in a fire will be difficult.

Speaker: Dave Louzak, Ramona Resident

Mr. Louzak lives one-half mile from the project site. The structure to be built, with barn and arena, will be 33,000 sq ft total. There are Grasslands on 2 sides of the project. This is an inappropriate area for such a structure. A Major Use Permit would be appropriate.

Mr. Hailey said the neighbors will be negatively impacted by the project being developed as proposed.

**MOTION: TO DENY AS PRESENTED BECAUSE IT IS OUT OF CHARACTER, SCOPE AND SCALE OF THE AREA, AND DUE TO LACK OF STORMWATER MAINTENANCE, LIGHTING, AND LANDSCAPING ON PRESENTED PLAN.**

(Discussion on the motion)

Mr. Anderson said that he was concerned with the backlash to the building and the project. A handful of people attending the RCPG meeting are opposed to the project. He feels neighbors in the area should be surveyed on the project. Homes in that area are 4,000 to 6,000 sq ft. He feels the project fits into the area.

The Chair said that the project has been reviewed since 2006. The planner has said we either need to approve or deny the project. People 500 to 600 feet from the project have been noticed. The RCPG can take on liability if we survey the neighbors. We are following the proper process: the item is put on the agenda; the project goes to a subcommittee; and the project goes to the RCPG. The RCPG has reviewed the projects on a few occasions.

Mr. Tomlinson is concerned with adding roofs – he is concerned with the stormwater issue.

The Chair said that if we approve the plans, we can only approve the plans that are submitted.

Mr. Stykel felt the project was a perfect project, but not for the area proposed.

Mr. Sprong felt the project fit the area. With a smaller arena, dressage couldn't be done. The L animal designator limits animals.

The Chair said the RCPG needs to determine if the scope, scale and intended use match the area.

Mr. Sprong said the purpose matches the zoning.

(Voting on the motion)

Upon motion made by Bob Hailey and seconded by Paul Stykel, the Motion **passed 8-3-1-0-3**, with Chad Anderson, Dennis Grimes and Kristi Mansolf voting no, Dennis Sprong abstaining, Matt Deskovick and Katherine L. Finley absent, and Beverly Maes resigned.

**12-A-2:PAA 08-003, GPA 09-005, REZ 09-001, TM 5560 (Previously TM 5378)  
Estates at McDonald Park (CUDA Project), 18 Lot Split at 1666 Hanson Ln.  
Near School Daze. Jean McDonald, Owner.**

Ms. Mansolf gave the West Subcommittee report. There was some confusion over the project description at the meeting, so Ms. Mansolf read the following project description she received from the County planner: The project site is located at 1666 Hanson Lane near the intersection of School Daze Lane. The proposed project is a Tentative Map to subdivide a portion of a previously approved project (TM 5378). TM 5378 was approved for an 11 lot residential subdivision, and the newly proposed TM would further subdivide lots 1-5, 8, 9 and 11 increasing the overall number of parcels from 11 to 18 within the area covered by TM 5378. Lots 6, 7 and 10 of TM 5378 are not part of this TM permit application. In order to achieve a higher density to allow for these additional



lots, the applicant is requesting a General Plan Amendment and Rezone. The General Plan Amendment would change the existing (1) Residential to a (3) Residential. The rezone application would change the zoning from A-70 (Limited Agricultural) to RR (Rural Residential), which would reduce the minimum lot size from 1 acre to 0.5 acre. A Plan Amendment Authorization was approved by DPLU in May 2008 to allow for the submittal of the General Plan Amendment based on conformance with the General Plan Update (draft land use map). The General Plan Update shows the area to be Village Residential (VR-2), which would be consistent in density with the proposed project. The proposed lots would require municipal sewer and water service.

Seven of the 8 lots will be split into ½ acre lots for a total of 18 lots. The lot that contains the hill to the back of the property will be a 1 acre lot. The fire access is new. The road will come from the cul-de-sac on the property and extend to Hanson Ln. It will be 24 feet wide, paved and gated at Hanson Ln. There will be a Knox box on the gate to permit fire department access. Sewer and water are available on Hanson Ln., and the applicant will be bringing both up into the project.

It was suggested that there be a locked gate on the cul-de-sac side of the road, too.

Density was discussed. School Daze has ½ acre lots as do other newer developments in the area, so the project will fit in with existing zoning.

Ms. McDonald was present and showed a map of the project, highlighting changes.

**MOTION: TO APPROVE THE TENTATIVE MAP, REZONE AND GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT, INCLUDING THE FIRE ACCESS AS PRESENTED.**

Upon motion made by Kristi Mansolf and seconded by Bob Hailey, the Motion **passed 12-0-0-0-3**, with Matt Deskovick and Katherine L. Finley absent, and Beverly Maes resigned.

**12-B: SOUTH ( ) (No Business)**

**12-C: EAST ( ) (No Business)**

**12-D: PARKS ( ) (No Business)**

**12-E: GP Update Plan (Anderson) (No Business)**

**12-F: Transportation/Trails ( ) (No Business)**

**12-G: DESIGN REVIEW (Anderson) – Update on Projects Reviewed by the Design Review Board**

The Chair gave the Design Review Board Report. Code Enforcement used to follow up after receiving complaints from the Design Review Board. This is no longer the case. Steps are being taken to come together with Code Enforcement on a process that can be applied to code enforcement issues. Design Review wants standards from the County and they want everyone to play by the same rules.

The Old Orchards new tenant has switched the sign. Currently they are at 200 percent of their allotted sign space. This item was tabled and will come back. For KFC, the bucket will come down as a condition. It was to be removed originally, then the bucket was slipped back into the design. The planner caught it and brought it to the Design Review Board's attention. They have

been assured it will come down and the County will not approve it if the bucket does not come down.

**12-H: TOWN CENTER COMMITTEE ( ) Update on Town Center  
Committee Meetings – Report to RCPG – No Report**

**ITEM 13: ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS (Chair)**

**A. Election of RCPG Officers for 2009: Vice-Chair (Action)**

The Chair open nominations for Vice Chair. Angus Tobiason nominated Dennis Grimes. Jim Piva nominated Dennis Sprong.

Voting Record:

Chad Anderson	Dennis Grimes
Chris Anderson	Dennis Sprong
Matt Deskovick	Absent
Katherine L. Finley	Absent
Kathy S. Finley	Dennis Grimes
Dennis Grimes	Dennis Grimes
Bob Hailey	Dennis Grimes
Eb Hogervorst	Dennis Sprong
Beverly Maes	Resigned
Kristi Mansolf	Dennis Grimes
Jim Piva	Dennis Sprong
Dennis Sprong	Dennis Sprong
Paul Stykel	Dennis Sprong
Angus Tobiason	Dennis Grimes
Richard Tomlinson	Dennis Sprong

Dennis Grimes: 6 votes; Dennis Sprong: 6 votes. To be on March agenda.

**B. Appointment of Subcommittee Chairs (Chair)**

The Chair announced Subcommittee chair assignments: Ms. Mansolf, West; Mr. Piva, T&T; Mr. Tomlinson, Parks; Ms. Kathy S. Finley, East – we will put in an article in the Ramona Sentinel asking for members; Mr. Hailey, South. The Chair cannot be the chair of any standing subcommittee, but as the GP Update is an ad hoc subcommittee she can continue to do chair the GP Update Subcommittee. As RCPG Chair, she is also a member of any subcommittee she attends and she will step in to chair a subcommittee if the subcommittee chair is not available.

Mr. Grimes agreed to be AHOPE Subcommittee Chair.

The Chair said Mr. Brean will be CUDA Subcommittee Chair when he gets back on the RCPG.

The Chair said Mr. Brean also agreed to be a representative for the Town Center Committee. Mr. Stykel has agreed to be the other representative, taking Mr. Simmons' place.

There was discussion of having vice chairs for the subcommittees.

The Chair cautioned against subcommittee members and RCPG members having 'daisy chain' meetings, where 1 person talks to another and so on, until more than a quorum of members are involved in the discussion. Chairs of subcommittees have to prepare an agenda and provide at least 72 hours notice for their meetings, posting the agenda at the Community Center.

Ms. Mansolf will send a list to all chairs of what their responsibilities are as far as posting agendas, putting their agendas in the Ramona Sentinel and notifying their subcommittee members of members, etc. As she gets much of the mail for the RCPG, she will distribute the projects to chairs. Should they put something on their agendas, it would be helpful to send her a copy of the item to be sure it gets on the RCPG agenda.

**C. Names Submitted for New Subcommittee Members (Action) – None**

**D. Agenda Requests – None**

**E. Concerns of Members**

Mr. Piva said he feels many of the people at the County and in the community don't see the RCPG as having credibility. He proposed having uniform RCPG shirts made, to include names and a logo, that members could wear to RCPG meetings and meetings where members are acting in an RCPG capacity.

The Chair said, at her own expense, she is going to have RCPG letterhead designed. The logo can also go on the shirts. The Chair has redesigned the speaker slips.

**ITEM 14: ADJOURNMENT**

Respectfully submitted,

Kristi Mansolf